

Instructor

Student	The instructor was knowledgeable about the subject.	The instructor provide prompt and pertinent answers to the questions.	The instructor promoted class participation and interactive learning.	The instructor proved to be very valid and improved my learning experience.	Average
bmurlock@	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
cknight1@	9.00	9.00	-	9.00	9.00
ecarter1@j	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
gfoster@h	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
jenese@gr	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
JStrain@h	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
kpuhakka@	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
mbrisson1@	-	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
mmoore3@	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
mtucker1@	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
pfox1@hur	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
rbhavaraju	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
schivukula	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
sharris5@t	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
sreimer@h	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
wballard1@	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00
Average	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00	9.00

Comments

Instructor	Comments on the instructor:
mtucker1@	very good instructor. knowledgeable and went above and beyond in giving us all the information we would need and help for preparing for the exam
JStrain@h	excellent experience with the instructor.
ecarter1@f	Fantastic knowledge base and professionalism.
kpuhakka@	Excellent. Out of the two training courses I had in a row, this instructor was better.
sreimer@h	Arnold did a great job and despite lab issues tried helping everyone through as best he could.
jenese@gm	Liked the instructor, his summaries in the morning of the previous days' topics, his relevant real-life stories of each topic made the theory topics come alive and be more memorable. He didn't just read slides. He stressed the importance of labs and really helped us get through them.
bmurlock@	Arnold was awesome! Use him again!
wballard1@t	Arnold was fantastic. He took a challenging information set and distilled it down to manageable chunks.
gfoster@hur	Arnold was very knowledgeable and covered each topic with great detail.

Overall Satisfaction

Instructor	General comment:
pfox1@	The instructor was very good.
JStrain@	Trainer was well read and knowledgeable. Lab environment was lacking in reliability and consistency. Grammatical errors in lab guide are distracting, but hands on experience with the Azure Portal was very valuable.
ecarter1@j	The instructor was extremely resilient through all of the Lab challenges we had. I do not feel that the testing was sufficient given the number of problems that were had.
kpuhakka@	Course contents was good.
sreimer@h	Overall content and instructor was good and useful, but labs were terrible. The instructions provided were flat wrong in some parts, replete with typos (seriously can someone just run it through a spellchecker?). Performance was terrible. Pretty frustrating
jenese@	Liked the instructor, his summaries in the morning of the previous days' topics, his relevant real-life stories of each topic made the theory topics come alive and be more memorable. He didn't just read slides. He stressed the importance of labs and really helped us get through them. The lab environment needed more work!!! Labs didn't match the portal, labs had errors, and godeploy didn't seem to care about that.
schivukula@i	Arnold Villeneuve was very good.
bmurlock@h	Coordinating the training with Fastlane was great. They were responsive to all questions/concerns!
wballard1@h	The tools need to be SO MUCH better. We spend so much time dealing with lag or having to install software. The Training environment REALLY needs attention.
sharris5@hur	nice work
rbhavaraju@i	Arnold Villeneuve is very knowledgeable and very easy to work with.